

**Company (Responsible Person)**

**Product codes**

10007P, 10008P, 10009P, 10010P, 10031P, 10050P

**Product name**

Lemon/Lime type refresher hand wipe range (x6): Minty lemon, Lemon 600, Lemon crème, Lemongrass 600, Lime, Cologne [all are version 5 of this series]

**Category of product**

Hand cleansing wipe

**Intended consumer group**

Adults and children over 3

**Our reference**

HA3348A

**Date of report**

22<sup>nd</sup> June 2015, modified for scent changes 26/11/15

**Manufacturing company (if different to above)**

Same as above

**Manufacturing address (if different to above)**

Same as above

**Contents**

**Part A: Cosmetic Product Safety Information**

1. Quantitative product composition
2. Physical / chemical characteristics of the product
3. Raw material specifications and safety data sheets
4. Results of stability testing and shelf life information
5. Microbiological quality / challenge testing
6. Manufacturer's information / normal and reasonably foreseeable use
7. Packaging information
8. Exposure estimates used in this Safety Report
  - 8a Dermal
  - 8b Oral
  - 8c Inhalation
9. Systemic toxicity data and calculations of margins of safety
10. References and reasoning on toxicity effects on each ingredient
11. Local toxicity
12. Restrictions and compliance with EU annexes
13. Results of human and in vitro toxicity studies
14. Reported adverse events

**Part B: Cosmetic Product Safety Assessment**

1. Assessment conclusion
2. Safety assessors warnings and specific instructions required for safe use
3. Reasoning
  - 3a Systemic toxicity
  - 3b Carcinogenicity / mutagenicity
  - 3c Skin sensitisation
  - 3d Irritancy / corrosivity
  - 3e Phototoxicity
  - 3f Microbiological safety
  - 3g Impact of stability on safety
  - 3h Impact of packaging on safety
  - 3i Consideration of possible chemical reactions
4. Purity conditions
5. General notes and conditions of this assessment
6. Name and credentials for safety assessor

## PART B – Cosmetic Product Safety Assessment

### 1. Assessment Conclusion

We confirm that these 5 products are safe in the stated application when used under normal and reasonably foreseeable use, and the product composition complies with EC Regulation 1223/2009 and all its annexes.

Systemic toxicity, including reproductive / developmental toxicity:	No concerns
Carcinogenicity / Mutagenicity	No concerns
Skin sensitisation	No particular concerns based on skin sensitisation data from animal or human studies on individual ingredients and their concentrations in the product, but there is always a chance that an individual may have a rare reaction to a particular ingredient.
Skin irritancy	No concerns
Eye irritancy	No particular concerns but any foreign matter in the eye will have a tendency to irritate.
Phototoxicity and photosensitisation	No concerns
Microbiological safety	No concerns
Impact of product stability on safety	No concerns
Packaging safety issues	No concerns
Formation of toxic materials via chemical reaction	No concerns
Potential physical/flammability hazards	No concerns

### 2. Safety assessor's warnings and specific instructions required for safe use

The following warnings are required on both the inner and outer packaging

No particular warnings required

It is assumed that instructions or use of commonplace product type names (e.g. "hand wipe") as described in section 6 of Part A are used. No particular extra instructions are required for the safe use of this product.

### 3. Reasoning

#### (a) Potential systemic toxic effects

Table 9 gives the margin of safety for each of the ingredients used. It takes into account all systemic toxicity end points including organ toxicity, reproductive and developmental toxicity, blood and metabolic effects, and carcinogenicity. The end point that drives the NOAEL or other repeat dose toxicity value is given in the critical toxicity effect column, and is usually derived from repeat dose animal studies. If none is written it means that no toxicity was seen at the highest dose tested. Dermal absorption is the main route of entry but the possibility of inhalation and ingestion has also been considered. All the ingredients used are considered safe because they have a margin of safety (MOS) of 100 or over or, for ingredients

for which safe levels in the human diet have been calculated, have a margin of exposure (MOE) of 1.0 or greater.

The lowest margin of safety in this product is for Cymbopogon Citratus Leaf Oil with a MOS value of 850.

(b) Carcinogenicity / mutagenicity / reproductive toxicity

None of the ingredients are confirmed or suspected to be carcinogens, mutagens or reproductive toxins (class IA, 1B or 2 under GHS). Based on weight of evidence of in vitro studies, and in vivo studies where appropriate, none of the ingredients are considered to be mutagenic. However, one of the perfume components Lilial (Butylphenyl Methylpropional) has a non-harmonised classification as a CMR but we calculate its use in this product to be safe.

(c) Potential skin sensitisation effects

The main causes of skin sensitisation in cosmetics are perfume ingredients, essential oils and perfuming absolutes, certain other non-perfuming plant extracts containing high concentrations of terpenes, some preservatives, some hair dyes, and some UV filters.

(c1) Potential skin sensitisation from perfumes, synthetic aromas, essential oils and absolutes: The International Fragrance Research Association (IFRA) has a series of regulations designed to prevent sensitisation to perfumes, essential oils and absolutes. The maximum concentrations of various ingredients for different types of cosmetic products (in %) are based on a NESIL value (No Expected Sensitisation Induction Level) in  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  from weight of evidence of both human (e.g.RIPT) and animal (e.g. mouse LLNA) studies. The calculations include a safety factor (SAF) of between 30 and 300 including a factor of 10 for inter-individual variability, as summarised in "Dermal Sensitization Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) for Fragrance Ingredients, IFRA Technical Dossier 2006". For a few perfuming actives such as Hydroxyisohexyl 3-Cyclohexene Carboxaldehyde (Lylal) this QRA method has not been undertaken due to lack of data, but provisional limits have been derived by IFRA based on other, e.g. epidemiological, evidence. For perfumes, we have checked the relevant IFRA certificate and confirmed that the concentration of perfume complies in this product. For essential oils, absolutes and hydrosols, we have checked the maximum likely level of any IFRA regulated components and sensitisers and we confirm that the product complies with the regulations.

(c2) Potential skin sensitisation from other ingredients: The use of preservatives, UV filters and hair dyes is controlled by the EU on Annexes VI and VII and all toxicity endpoints, including skin sensitisation, are taken into account before an ingredient is listed. This product complies with any maximum concentration restrictions imposed by the Annexes. For most other skin sensitisers (i.e. excluding essential oils and perfumes), the final product would not be considered a risk if the final concentration is less than 0.01%, which is the limit for classification under the CPL regulations. These levels are not exceeded in the product.

(d) Potential skin / eye irritation effects

A general rule of thumb used in the classification of mixtures of chemicals under the EU CPL regulations is that skin or eye irritation is not significant if the total concentration of individual ingredients classified as irritant is less than 10% by weight. For leave-on skin-care products we would look for a total of less than 10%, but higher concentrations in rinse-off products can be tolerated on wet skin due to the immediate dilution effect. The contribution from chemicals classified as corrosive, or as capable of causing serious damage to the eye, has to be taken into account, using higher weighting factors than standard (category 2

irritants). The final pH is also important and the pH should normally be between 3 and 10 to avoid an irritant classification. Some cosmetic ingredients are classified as irritants (or worse) just because of the pH of the pure ingredient but it would be neutralised in the final product.

Total concentration of irritant ingredients = 1.6%.

Based on the total concentrations of such ingredients as summarised in Table 11 and how the product is used, skin and eye irritation are not considered significant.

(e) Potential phototoxicity / photosensitisation

The product does not contain any known phototoxic ingredients.

(f) Microbiological safety

An appropriate preservative challenge test has been carried out and has passed, and every bulk batch is tested for microbial contamination versus EU standards (SCCS/1501/12 section 4-4.2). The high concentration of alcohol (11%) will help to prevent microbial growth, as well as the preservative content.

It is assumed that the manufacturer is following Good Manufacturing Practice and that microbiological contamination of the final product is being minimised.

(g) Impact of product stability on safety

Given the observations / testing on the product to date, and experience with this type of product, stability is considered satisfactory and is not detrimental in terms of safety.

(h) Impact of packaging on safety

No chemical incompatibilities are expected between the primary packaging materials (PE, plus common synthetic and natural wipe materials) and the product, and this material(s) is regularly used to package similar cosmetic products in the EU. No deterioration has been seen in the final packaging with the previous version of the product after several years on the market.

It is considered unlikely that toxic substances will migrate from the packaging to the product.

(i) Consideration of possible chemical reactions

Our examination of possible reactive groups and chemical types of ingredients in this product indicates that there are unlikely to be any chemical reactions taking place that will affect the overall safety conclusions. Formation of nitrosamines in this product is not possible.

#### 4. Purity conditions

This assessment assumes that only cosmetic, pharmaceutical or food grade ingredients are used. Certain ingredients may have particular purity restrictions imposed on them under the annexes to the EU regulation and this Safety Report is only valid if these requirements are met. Such ingredients are indicated in Table 12 of Part A. Assuming any restrictions indicated in Table 12 are met, there are unlikely to be significant traces of prohibited substances or Annex III-restricted impurities in the final product, and heavy metals are likely to be below acceptable limits (we use the 2012 Health Canada "technically



unavoidable" limits of lead 10ppm, arsenic 3ppm, cadmium 3ppm, mercury 3ppm, and antimony 5ppm as guidance).


#### 5. General notes and conditions of this safety report

- a. This safety report has been generated in edit-protected pdf format. It is not valid if any details are manually changed or the report is electronically scanned or altered in any way.
- b. This safety report applies to products manufactured, sold or marketed by the company named above. It cannot be transferred or sold to third parties, except with the agreement of EF Chemical Consulting Ltd.
- c. This safety report only fully complies with Annex 1 of EC1223/2009 if it is filed in conjunction with the certificates of analysis, IFRA certificates, and safety data sheets for each ingredient. These are provided by the ingredient suppliers. EF Chemical Consulting Ltd does not compile or attach this documentation and the Responsible Person should ensure they are filed together – or provide an electronic link to them.
- d. Original versions of challenge test reports, stability testing reports and dermatological testing must also be filed alongside the safety report in the PIF file.
- e. The assessment assumes that all other aspects of EC regulation 1223/2009 is being complied with, especially adherence to Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP).
- f. Although this document is entitled "Cosmetic Product Safety Report" we do not make any reassurances that the product is considered to be a cosmetic under the EU Cosmetics Regulation. For borderline products we recommend you consult the relevant EU guidance documents and take independent advice.
- g. This document **does not confirm that we agree** with any claims made about the product or implied in the product name. EF Chemical Consulting Ltd is not involved in cosmetic claims support.
- h. This assessment applies only to the ingredients listed and the specific application state. A new assessment will be required if a raw material is substituted with a different INCI name, a different colour, or a different perfume or essential oil, or if the same formula is used for a product with a different application.
- i. If new undesirable events or "Serious Undesirable Events" are reported then this safety report will require updating.
- j. We try to use the European INCI names as listed in the EU's cosing database in the assessments, but we do not guarantee it. Please use our labelling consultancy service if you are unsure of the correct ingredients list to be printed on the label along with the correct perfume sensitizers to be listed.
- k. Except for the main preservatives and ingredients where the margin of safety is less than 110, this assessment is valid for concentration variations of +/- 10% of the declared percentage, to allow for manufacturing variations. For products containing water, this assessment is also valid for dilutions of the above formula with up to 5% water, as long as the preservative level is maintained at the same concentration in the finished product.
- l. In supplying this safety assessment EF Chemical Consulting Ltd makes no assurances that the individual substances or ingredients are registered or exempt under REACH. This is not usually an issue if the ingredient is sourced within the EU, but importers into the EU are warned that REACH notification rules apply once the annual imported quantity of a particular substance aggregated over all their products exceeds 1 TPA. Even if the substance has been registered it is possible that the registration doesn't cover its use as a cosmetic ingredient. Importers into the EU of products containing botanical ingredients derived from endangered species should also make themselves aware of any CITES restrictions. We do not make these checks.

EF Chemical Consulting Ltd  
Cosmetic Product Safety Report  
In compliance with Annex I, EC Regulation 1223/2009



6. Name and signature of assessor

*E H Fowles*  


Dr Edmund Hartley Fowles MA, MRSC, CChem

EF Chemical Consulting Ltd  
17 Kings Crescent East, Chester, CH3 5TH, UK  
Tel (+44) 01244-351644,  
email: [edmund@efchemicalconsulting.co.uk](mailto:edmund@efchemicalconsulting.co.uk)  
web: <http://www.efchemicalconsulting.co.uk>  
Registered in England and Wales - Registered Number 7348632

HA3348A Lemon refreshers v5 10007P 08P 09P 10P 31P 50P Part B